

SUPERIOR COURT OF ARIZONA  
MARICOPA COUNTY

FN 2004-000898

08/09/2005

HON. ROLAND J. STEINLE

CLERK OF THE COURT  
K. Perkins  
Deputy

IN RE THE MARRIAGE OF  
QUINN P WILLIAMS

FILED: 08/11/2005

CHARLES C VAN COTT

AND

INGRID ELIZABETH HAAS

SANDRA J FROMM

MINUTE ENTRY

9:58 a.m. Courtroom 601. This is the time set for the Oral Argument on Petitioner's Motion to Amend Judgment. Petitioner is present with counsel, Charles Van Cott. Respondent is present with counsel, Sandra Fromm.

A record of the proceedings is made by CD/videotape in lieu of a court reporter.

Counsel for Petitioner presents oral argument to the Court on Petitioner's Motion to Amend Judgment.

Counsel for Respondent presents oral argument to the Court on Petitioner's Motion to Amend Judgment.

Petitioner's Exhibit 1 is marked for identification and received in evidence.

Having heard the arguments,

**IT IS ORDERED** denying Petitioner's Motion to Amend Judgment.

Petitioner's unsigned proposed order shall be filed by the Court.

SUPERIOR COURT OF ARIZONA  
MARICOPA COUNTY

FN 2004-000898

08/09/2005

Counsel for Respondent presents an Amended Decree of Dissolution of Marriage without Minor Children for the Court's review and consideration.

**IT IS ORDERED** taking under advisement the Amended Decree of Dissolution. The Court notes that two (2) certified copies of the Amended Decree of Dissolution will be completed for the parties.

**THE COURT FINDS** that in consideration of the Notice of Appeal filed by the Respondent, the Court will not rule on any further issues in this case.

Counsel for Respondent requests that the Court an award of attorney's fees and costs.

**IT IS ORDERED** that the Court shall take the issue of attorney's fees and costs under advisement.

10:51 a.m. Matter concludes.

**LATER:**

**THE COURT FINDS** that the Respondent's Objections to the form of Decree were timely filed, however, this division did not receive them until after the decree was signed. Accordingly, the objections will be resolved by this minute entry.

For the reasons set forth in the Respondent's Objections, the written form of Decree submitted by Petitioner does not substantially conform to this Court's minute entry ruling dated April 19, 2005.

**IT IS ORDERED** granting Respondent's Objections To the Form of Decree.

**IT IS FURTHER ORDERED** vacating the Decree of Divorce submitted by Petitioner.

The written form of Decree submitted by Respondent substantially conforms to this Court's minute entry rulings. Accordingly,

**IT IS ORDERED** approving and settling Respondent's formal written Decree of Dissolution of Marriage signed by the Court on August 9, 2005, and filed (entered) by the Clerk on August 9, 2005.

If the Respondent wishes to pursue sanctions against the Petitioner under Rule 11, Counsel for Respondent may file a separate motion with an attached affidavit containing the e-mails, correspondence and other documents referred to at today's hearing. If the Court does not receive such a motion, the Court will deem the issue resolved by each paying his/her own attorney fees.

SUPERIOR COURT OF ARIZONA  
MARICOPA COUNTY

FN 2004-000898

08/09/2005

**IT IS FURTHER ORDERED** signing this minute entry as a formal written Order of the Court, pursuant to Rule 58 (A) and waiving the requirements of Rule 58(D).

/ s / HON. ROLAND J. STEINLE

---

JUDICIAL OFFICER OF THE SUPERIOR COURT

All parties representing themselves must keep the Court updated with address changes.  
A form may be downloaded at: <http://www.superiorcourt.maricopa.gov/ssc/sschome.html>.